IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 5058 of 2005

Between:

1 Tangella Venkateswara Rao S/o Narasimha Swamy
R/o Dirusumarru,Bhimavaram, West Godavari District
2 Bonthu Satyendra Prasad, S/o Anjeneyulu, R/o Nachuvari Centre,

Kali Satyam Lane, Bhimavaram, West Godavari District
3 Bonthu Lakshmi S/o Anjaneyulu, R/o Nachuvari Centre,

Kali Satyam Lane, Bhimavaram, West Godavari District
4 Bonthu Anjaneyulu, S/o Venkataratnam
R/o Yenamadurru Bhimavaram Mandal, West Godavari District

... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep by its Secretary,

Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 Superintendent of Police, West Godavari District Eluru
3 Circle Inspector of Police, Bhimavaram I Town Police Station,

Bhimavaram West Godavari District
4 Sub-Inspector of Police, Bhimavaram I Town Police Station,

Bhimavaram West Godavari District
5 Katta Nagaraju S/o Satyanarayana
R/o D. No. 26-9-62, balusumudi, Bhimavaram-2, West Godavari District
6 Salapareddy Satyanarayana, S/o Narasimhulu,
c/o Katta Nagaraju D. No. 26-9-62, Balusumudi, Bhimavaram-2,

West Godvari District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the

circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Respondents 2 to 4 in seeking to interfere with the civil disputes between them and the Respondent Nos.5 and 6 as illegal, arbitrary etc., and grant such other just and consequential relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.V.V.L.N.SARMA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: G.P. FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

ORAL ORDER**:**

Heard both sides.

2. The complaint of the petitioners is that respondents 2 to 4 are interfering with the civil disputes pending between the petitioners and the respondents 5 and 6. Whether the nature of dispute is purely of civil in nature, or not, is not made known to this Court. This court is not expressing any opinion on the issue as to whether the dispute pending between the petitioners and the respondents 5 and 6 is of civil in nature or not. However, it is suffice to comment that respondents 2 to 4 are not supposed to interfere in the matters, wherein the nature of dispute is purely of civil in nature.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of, at the stage of admission. No costs.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

16TH MARCH 2005

Note:

Issue CC in two days

(B/o.)

ISN/PAN

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The District Collector, Guntur, Guntur District.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Guntur, Guntur District.
3 The Inspector of Police, Macherla Circle, Macherla, Guntur District.
4 The Sub Inspector of Police, Durgi Police Station, Guntur District.
5 The Assistant Director of Fisheries, Guntur, Guntur District.

6. Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

7. Two CD copies

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

–

–

WRIT PETITION NO : 5058 of 2005

-

-

-

-

Note:

Issue CC in two days

16th March 2005